Finding *d*-Cuts in Probe *H*-Free Graphs

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Konrad K. Dabrowski}^{1[0000-0001-9515-6945]}, \text{ Tala} \\ \text{Eagling-Vose}^{2[0009-0008-0346-7032]}, \text{ Matthew Johnson}^{2[0000-0002-7295-2663]}, \\ \text{Giacomo Paesani}^{3[0000-0002-2383-1339]}, \text{ and Daniël} \\ \text{Paulusma}^{2[0000-0001-5945-9287]} \end{array}$

i darabina

¹ Newcastle University, Newcastle, UK konrad.dabrowski@newcastle.ac.uk ² Durham University, Durham, UK

Abstract. For an integer $d \geq 1$, the *d*-CUT problem is that of deciding whether a graph has an edge cut in which each vertex is adjacent to at most *d* vertices on the opposite side of the cut. The 1-CUT problem is the well-known MATCHING CUT problem. The *d*-CUT problem has been extensively studied for *H*-free graphs. We extend these results to the probe graph model, where we do not know all the edges of the input graph. For a graph *H*, a partitioned probe *H*-free graph (*G*, *P*, *N*) consists of a graph G = (V, E), together with a set $P \subseteq V$ of probes and an independent set $N = V \setminus P$ of non-probes such that we can change *G* into an *H*-free graph by adding zero or more edges between vertices in *N*. For every graph *H* and every integer $d \geq 1$, we completely determine the complexity of *d*-CUT on partitioned probe *H*-free graphs.

1 Introduction

When studying computationally hard problems for special graph classes, it is natural to generalize polynomial-time results for certain graph classes to larger graph classes. In particular, consider a graph H' and an induced subgraph Hof H'. The class of H-free graphs (class of graphs that do not contain H as an induced subgraph) is contained in the class of H'-free graphs. Say an NPcomplete problem Π is polynomial-time solvable on H-free graphs. Is Π also polynomial-time solvable on H'-free graphs? This question leads to complexity studies for a wide range of graph problems where the goal is to obtain complexity dichotomies that tell us for exactly which graphs H a certain NP-complete problem is polynomial-time solvable, and for which graphs H it stays NP-complete.

We follow this line of research, but also assume that we do not know all the edges of the input graph. Before explaining the latter in more detail, we first introduce the problem that we study. Consider a connected graph G = (V, E). A subset $M \subseteq E$ is an *edge cut* of G if it is possible to partition V into two nonempty sets B (*blue* vertices) and R (*red* vertices) in such a way that M is the set of all edges with one end-vertex in B and the other in R. Now, for an integer $d \geq 1$, if every blue vertex has at most d red neighbours, and every red vertex has 2



Fig. 1: A graph G with a set P of probes. The set F is the set of dashed edges. The blue-red colouring corresponds to a 2-cut of G and a 3-cut in G + F.

at most d blue neighbours, then the edge cut M is said to be a d-cut of G. See also Figure 1. The d-CUT problem is that of deciding whether a connected graph has a d-cut. A 1-cut is also called a matching cut, and the 1-CUT problem is better known as MATCHING CUT. For all $d \ge 1$, d-CUT is NP-complete [9,17]. Graphs with matching cuts were introduced in 1970 by Graham [18] in the context of number theory; for other applications see [2,12,14,28].

Our Focus. We consider the classical probe graph model, which was introduced by Zhang et al. [29] in 1994 to deal with partial information in genome research. In this model, the complete set of neighbours is only known for *some* vertices of the input graph G. These vertices form the set P of *probes*. The other vertices of G form the set N of *non-probes*. As we do not know the adjacencies between vertices in N, the set N is an independent set in G. However, in the probe graph model we also assume there exists a "certifying" set F of edges between (some of) the non-probes such that G + F has some known global structure; again see Figure 1. In our paper, G + F is H-free. Note that G[P] is already H-free.

So, a partitioned probe H-free graph (G, P, N) consists of a graph G = (V, E), a set $P \subseteq V$ of probes and an independent set $N = V \setminus P$ of non-probes, such that G + F is H-free for some edge subset $F \subseteq \binom{N}{2}$. Any H-free graph is also (partitioned) probe H-free: take P = V and $N = \emptyset$. Hence, (partitioned) probe H-free graphs contain all H-free graphs, and any NP-completeness results for H-free graphs carry over to partitioned probe H-free graphs. We therefore ask:

For which H, does d-CUT stay polynomial-time solvable on probe H-free graphs?

As such, our paper belongs to a recent systematic study of graph problems on probe *H*-free graphs. This study was initiated by Brettell et al. [6] for VERTEX COVER, whereas the previous literature on probe graphs aimed to characterize and recognize classes of probe graphs; see e.g. [3,7,8,15,16]. For example, if $H = P_4$, then probe *H*-free graphs can be recognized in polynomial time [8]. However, for most other graphs *H*, the complexity of recognizing probe *H*-free graphs is still unknown. Hence, for our algorithms, we assume that *P* and *N* are part of the input, that is, we will consider partitioned probe *H*-free graphs.

We will also consider two related problems: MAXIMUM MATCHING CUT and PERFECT MATCHING CUT on probe H-free graphs. The first is to decide if a connected graph has a matching cut of at least k edges for some integer k. The



Fig. 2: The graphs $sP_1 + P_4$, $K_{1,3}$ and H_i^* , from left to right.

second is to decide if a connected graph has a *perfect matching cut*, that is, an edge cut that is a perfect matching. This problem is also NP-complete [19].

Known Results. For two vertex-disjoint graphs G_1 and G_2 , let $G_1 + G_2 = (V(G_1) \cup V(G_2), E(G_1) \cup E(G_2))$. We let sG be the disjoint union of s copies of G. We write $G_1 \subseteq_i G_2$ if G_1 is an induced subgraph of G_2 . Let C_s denote the cycle on s vertices, P_t the path on t vertices, and $K_{1,r}$ the star on r + 1 vertices. The graph $K_{1,3}$ is known as the *claw*. Let H_1^* be the "H"-graph, which has vertices u, v, w_1, w_2, x_1, x_2 and edges $uv, uw_1, uw_2, vx_1, vx_2$. For $i \geq 2$, let H_i^* be obtained from H_1^* by subdividing uv exactly i - 1 times. See Figure 2.

In Theorems 1–3 we present the state-of-art for *d*-CUT, PERFECT MATCHING CUT and MAXIMUM MATCHING CUT for *H*-free graphs. Only Theorem 3 is a full dichotomy. The references in Theorem 1 are explained in [23] except for the recent result that 2-CUT is NP-complete for claw-free graphs [1]; note the jump in complexity from d = 1 to d = 2 for $H = 3P_2$ and $H = K_{1,3}$. For $d \ge 2$, the only three non-equivalent open cases in Theorem 1 are $H = 2P_4$, $H = P_6$ and $H = P_7$ (see also [23]). The references in Theorem 2 are explained in [26].

Theorem 1 ([1,5,9,13,20,22,23,24,25,27]). Let *H* be a graph and $d \ge 1$.

- If d = 1, then d-Cut on H-free graphs is polynomial-time solvable if $H \subseteq_i sP_3 + S_{1,1,2}$, $sP_3 + P_4 + P_6$, or $sP_3 + P_7$ for some $s \ge 0$; and NP-complete if $H \supseteq_i K_{1,4}$, P_{14} , $2P_7$, $3P_5$, C_r for $r \ge 3$, or H_i^* for $i \ge 1$.
- If $d \ge 2$, then d-CUT on H-free graphs is polynomial-time solvable if $H \subseteq_i sP_1 + P_3 + P_4$ or $sP_1 + P_5$ for some $s \ge 0$; and NP-complete if $H \supseteq_i K_{1,3}$, $3P_2$, C_r for $r \ge 3$, or H_i^* for $i \ge 1$.

Theorem 2 ([13,20,21,25]). PERFECT MATCHING CUT on *H*-free graphs is polynomial-time solvable if $H \subseteq_i sP_4 + S_{1,2,2}$ or $sP_4 + P_6$ for some $s \ge 0$; and NP-complete if $H \supseteq_i K_{1,4}$, P_{14} , $2P_7$, $3P_6$, C_r for $r \ge 3$ or H_i^* for $j \ge 1$.

Theorem 3 ([26]). MAXIMUM MATCHING CUT on *H*-free graphs is polynomialtime solvable if $H \subseteq_i sP_2 + P_6$ for some $s \ge 0$; and NP-complete otherwise.

Our Results. We combine NP-completeness results from Theorems 1–3 with new polynomial and hardness results (shown in Section 3 and 4, resp.) to prove:

Theorem 4. For a graph H, the following four complete dichotomies hold:

- 1-CUT, PERFECT MATCHING CUT and MAXIMUM MATCHING CUT on partitioned probe H-free graphs are polynomial-time solvable if $H \subseteq_i sP_1 + P_4$ for some $s \ge 0$; and NP-complete otherwise; - for $d \ge 2$, d-Cut on partitioned probe H-free graphs is polynomial-time solvable if $H \subseteq_i P_1 + P_4$; and NP-complete otherwise.

From Theorems 1 and 4, it follows that d-CuT becomes harder for probe H-free graphs (if $P \neq NP$) even if $H = 2P_2$ for $d \ge 1$ and $H = 4P_1$ for $d \ge 2$.

2 Preliminaries and Basic Results

4

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. We let $N_G(v) = \{u \in V \mid uv \in E\}$ be the *(open)* neighbourhood of v and $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$ be the closed neighbourhood of v. Let $S \subseteq V$. We write G[S] to denote the subgraph of G induced by S. A vertex $v \notin S$ is complete to S if $N(v) \supseteq S$, and v is anti-complete to S if $N(v) \cap S = \emptyset$. Let $S' \subseteq V$ with $S' \cap S = \emptyset$. If every vertex of S is complete (anti-complete) to S', then S is complete (anti-complete) to S'.

In our paper we also define some other probe graph classes. For example, we may say that a graph G with a set P of probes and a set N of non-probes is probe split if there exists a set $F \subseteq \binom{N}{2}$ such that G + F is a split graph (a graph whose vertex set can be partitioned into a clique and an independent set).

We now recall some colouring terminology for d-cuts from [23] that is commonly used in the context of matching cuts (see, e.g. [24]). A red-blue colouring of a graph G colours every vertex of G either red or blue. For $d \ge 1$, a red-blue colouring is a red-blue d-colouring if every blue vertex has at most d red neighbours, every red vertex has at most d blue neighbours, and G has at least one blue vertex and at least one red vertex. See Figure 1 for red-blue d-colourings for d = 2 and d = 3. For some $d \ge 1$, a red-blue d-colouring is perfect if and only if every red vertex has exactly d blue neighbours and vice versa. This gives us the following straightforward observation (in the case of perfectness we focus on d = 1: a perfect 1-cut is a perfect matching cut).

Observation 5 ([23]) For every $d \ge 1$, a connected graph G has a (perfect) d-cut if and only if G has a (perfect) red-blue d-colouring.

Let $d \ge 1$. Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph and $X, Y \subseteq V$ be disjoint sets. A red-blue (X, Y)-d-colouring of G is a red-blue d-colouring of G that colours all the vertices of X red and all the vertices of Y blue. We say that (X, Y) is a d-precoloured pair of G. We will usually "guess" such a pair (X, Y)as the starting point in our algorithms. On a d-precoloured pair (X, Y) we can safely apply the following two rules exhaustively.

- **R1.** Return no (i.e. G has no red-blue (X, Y)-d-colouring) if a vertex $v \in V$ is adjacent to d + 1 vertices in X as well as to d + 1 vertices in Y.
- **R1.** Let $v \in V \setminus (X \cup Y)$. If v is adjacent to d + 1 vertices in X, then put v in X, and if v is adjacent to d + 1 vertices in Y, then put v in Y.

Afterwards, we either returned **no**, or we obtained two new sets $X' \supseteq X$ and $Y' \supseteq Y$. In the latter case we say that we have *colour-processed* (X, Y) *into*

(X', Y'). By construction, every vertex of $V \setminus (X' \cup Y')$ is adjacent to at most d vertices of X' and to at most d vertices of Y'. The next lemma shows that we can work safely with (X', Y') instead of (X, Y).

Lemma 6 ([23]). Let G be a connected graph with a precoloured pair (X, Y). It is possible, in polynomial time, to either colour-process (X, Y) into a pair (X', Y') such that G has a red-blue (X, Y)-d-colouring if and only if it has a redblue (X', Y')-d-colouring, or to find that G has no red-blue (X, Y)-d-colouring.

3 Polynomial-Time Results

In this section, we show our polynomial-time results for MAXIMUM MATCHING CUT, PERFECT MATCHING CUT and d-CUT $(d \ge 1)$. That is, we show that MAXIMUM MATCHING CUT (and thus 1-CUT) and PERFECT MATCHING CUT are polynomial-time solvable on $(sP_1 + P_4)$ -free graphs, and d-CUT, for $d \ge 2$, is polynomial-time solvable on $(P_1 + P_4)$ -free graphs.

Our proofs are based on combining colour-processing with the observation that we can guess the closed neighbourhood of any set of size at most some constant c: this will lead to only $\mathcal{O}(2^c n^{cd})$ branches, due to the fact that any vertex can have at most d neighbours of the opposite colour. In our algorithms we choose a constant number of constant-sized sets in such a way that afterwards the whole input graph is coloured.

For our first result we need the following lemma.

Lemma 7. For a graph H, the following two statements hold:

- (i) If MAXIMUM MATCHING CUT is polynomial-time solvable on partitioned probe H-free graphs, then it is polynomial-time solvable on partitioned probe $(P_1 + H)$ -free graphs.
- (ii) If PERFECT MATCHING CUT is polynomial-time solvable on partitioned probe H-free graphs, then it is polynomial-time solvable on partitioned probe $(P_1 + H)$ -free graphs.

Proof. We first prove (i). Suppose that MAXIMUM MATCHING CUT is polynomialtime solvable on partitioned probe *H*-free graphs. Let G = (V, E) be a partitioned probe $(P_1 + H)$ -free graph with a set $P \subseteq V$ of probes and a set $N = V \setminus P$ of non-probes. If there is an edge subset $F' \subseteq \binom{N}{2}$ such that G + F' is *H*-free, then we can solve MAXIMUM MATCHING CUT on (G, P, N) in polynomial time by assumption. Now suppose that for every edge subset $F' \subseteq \binom{N}{2}$, there is some $Q_{F'} \subseteq V(G)$ such that $G[Q_{F'}]$ is isomorphic to *H* in G + F'. As *G* is probe $(P_1 + H)$ -free, there exists an edge subset $F \subseteq \binom{N}{2}$ such that G + F is $(P_1 + H)$ free. We let $Q = Q_F$.

For any red-blue 1-colouring, of G, every vertex $v \in V(G)$ has at most one neighbour with a different colour than v, so there are $\mathcal{O}(n)$ red-blue colourings of $N_G[v]$. We branch on all $\mathcal{O}(n^{|H|})$ red-blue colourings of the closed neighbourhood of Q. As H is a fixed graph, the number of branches is polynomial. Moreover, the red-blue colouring in each branch corresponds to a pair (X, Y) of G in which every vertex of X is red and every vertex of Y is blue. Our goal is to find, for each such pair (X, Y), a red-blue (X, Y)-1-colouring of G that has as many edges with both a blue and a red end-vertex as possible; we say that such edges are *non-monochromatic*. We take the maximum number of non-monochromatic edges over all pairs (X, Y) as our output. By Observation 5, we find a maximum matching cut in this way (should G have a matching cut).

Let (X, Y) be the pair corresponding to a red-blue colouring of the closed neighbourhood of Q. By Lemma 6, we can colour-process (X, Y) into a pair (X', Y') such that afterwards we either find that G has no red-blue (X, Y)-1-colouring, or we may consider the pair (X', Y') instead. In the former case, we discard the pair (X, Y). Suppose that we are in the latter case. Note that from the nature of the rules **R1** or **R2**, any red-blue (X, Y)-1-colouring of Gwith maximum number of non-monochromatic edges corresponds to a red-blue (X', Y')-1-colouring of G with maximum number of non-monochromatic edges.

A key observation is that any uncoloured vertex of G belongs to N. This can be seen as follows. If u is an uncoloured vertex of G that belongs to P, then uis not in the closed neighbourhood of Q (as otherwise we would have coloured u already). As u belongs to P, it follows that u is not incident to an edge in F. We also recall that G[Q] is isomorphic to H in G + F. Hence, $Q \cup \{u\}$ induces a $P_1 + H$ in G + F, contradicting the fact that G + F is $(P_1 + H)$ -free.

As N is an independent set of G, the above implies that the set of uncoloured vertices of G is independent. This means that we may apply Lemma 21 from [26] to find a red-blue (X', Y')-1-colouring with the maximum number of non-monochromatic edges in polynomial time.

We now prove (ii) by proceeding in exactly the same way as above. At some point, we must consider a pair (X', Y'), and we have a set of uncoloured vertices that is an independent set. Let u be such an uncoloured vertex. Due to the colourprocessing, u has at most one blue neighbour and at most one red neighbour. If u has only one neighbour, we must give u the colour opposite to the colour of its neighbour. We check if the resulting red-blue colouring contains no vertex with two neighbours of the opposite colour. If that is the case, we discard the original pair (X, Y) that corresponds to (X', Y'). Otherwise, we let U be the remaining set of uncoloured vertices, which all have exactly one blue neighbour and exactly one red neighbour. It remains to check whether there exists a perfect matching M between the vertices of U and its open neighbourhood in G. If so, we colour each vertex in U blue if its matched neighbour in M is red, and vice versa. We then check if the resulting red-blue colouring of G is a perfect redblue 1-colouring. If not, we discard (X, Y), and otherwise we are done. It takes polynomial time to find a perfect matching or to conclude that it does not exist. Hence, statement (ii) of the lemma has also been proven.

We now prove a second lemma.

Lemma 8. MAXIMUM MATCHING CUT and PERFECT MATCHING CUT are polynomial-time solvable on partitioned probe P_4 -free graphs.

Proof. Let G be a probe P_4 -free graph with a set $P \subseteq V$ of probes and a set $N = V \setminus P$ of non-probes. Hence, there exists an edge subset $F \subseteq \binom{N}{2}$ such that G + F is P_4 -free. The latter implies that G + F has a dominating edge uv, which is an edge such that every other vertex in G + F is adjacent to at least one of u, v. The fact that such a dominating edge exists follows directly from using the alternative definition of P_4 -free graphs as cographs.

We now consider the edge uv in G + F. As $F \subseteq \binom{N}{2}$, the only vertices that are anti-complete to $\{u, v\}$ in G must belong to N, so these vertices form an independent set (as N is independent). This means that we can use exactly the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 7: we just replace Q by $\{u, v\}$.

For PERFECT MATCHING CUT, we can proceed in a similar way as above. We can also use the fact that probe P_4 -free graphs have clique-width at most 4 [8] and PERFECT MATCHING CUT can be expressed in MSO₁, which means that we may apply the meta-theorem of Courcelle, Makowsky and Rotics [10].

We are now ready to prove our first main result of this section.

Theorem 9. MAXIMUM MATCHING CUT and PERFECT MATCHING CUT are polynomial-time solvable on partitioned probe (sP_1+P_4) -free graphs for all $s \ge 0$.

Proof. By combining Lemma 8 with repeated applications of Lemma 7 the theorem follows. $\hfill \Box$

To prove our next result, we first show a lemma.

Lemma 10. For any red-blue d-colouring of a connected P_4 -free graph, some colour class has size at most 2d.

Proof. Let G be a connected P_4 -free graph with a red-blue d-colouring. Then G has at least two vertices. Let $R \subseteq V(G)$ and $B \subseteq V(G)$ denote the (nonempty) sets of red and blue vertices, respectively. A graph is P_4 -free if and only if it is a cograph. It follows directly from the definition of a cograph that G has a spanning complete bipartite subgraph. Therefore, the vertices of G can be partitioned into a pair of non-empty disjoint sets $S_1, S_2 \neq \emptyset$, which are complete to one another.

If S_1 contains at least d + 1 red vertices, then S_2 must be monochromatic red. Moreover, in that case, S_1 contains at most d blue vertices, as each vertex in S_2 is adjacent to at most d blue vertices. Hence, G contains at most d blue vertices. Thus, we can assume that S_1 and, symmetrically, S_2 contain at most dred vertices each. This means that G contains at most 2d red vertices. \Box

We are now ready to prove the second main result of this section.

Theorem 11. For every $d \ge 2$, d-CUT is polynomial-time solvable on partitioned probe $(P_1 + P_4)$ -free graphs.

Proof. Let $d \ge 2$. Let G = (V, E). Let (G, P, N) be a connected partitioned probe $(P_1 + P_4)$ -free graph, so there exists an edge subset $F \subseteq \binom{N}{2}$ such that G + F is $(P_1 + P_4)$ -free. We show how to find a *d*-cut of *G* or that none exists.

Suppose that there is a set $Q \subseteq P$ that induces a P_4 in G. Then Q dominates G, otherwise G contains an induced $P_1 + P_4$ of which at most one vertex belongs to N and so G + F also contains an induced $P_1 + P_4$. For every red-blue d-colouring of G, every vertex $v \in V$ has at most d neighbours in a different colour class. That is, there are $\mathcal{O}(n^d)$ red-blue d-colourings of $N_G[v]$. As |Q| = 4, we can consider all $\mathcal{O}(n^d)$ colourings of $N_G[Q]$, and, as Q dominates G, this is all red-blue d-colourings of G; hence, we can solve the problem in polynomial time.

We may now assume that G[P] is a P_4 -free graph (cograph) and we consider three cases according to the number of connected components of G[P]. As G is connected and N is an independent set of G, we find that P dominates N, that is, every vertex of N has a neighbour in P. This means that G has a red-blue dcolouring that colours every vertex of P blue only if G has a red-blue d-colouring that colours exactly one vertex of N red and all other vertices of G blue. We can check this in polynomial time. Hence, from now on, we will assume that in every red-blue d-colouring of G (if such a colouring exists), there is at least one red vertex and at least one blue vertex in P.

Case 11.1: G[P] has exactly one connected component.

8

By Lemma 10, we may assume that a set X of at most 2d vertices of P is coloured red. We guess X. As we may assume that P is not monochromatic, we may assume that X is a proper non-empty subset of P. We colour every vertex of $P \setminus X$ blue. Then we consider all $\mathcal{O}(n^{2d})$ possible red-blue colourings of the neighbourhood of X in N. For each of them, we consider the remaining uncoloured vertices in N. As these only have blue neighbours, we can safely colour them blue. It remains to check in polynomial time if the obtained colouring of G is indeed a red-blue d-colouring.

Case 11.2: G[P] has exactly two connected components.

Let the two connected components of G[P] have vertex sets C_1 and C_2 . By Lemma 10, we may assume that a set X_1 of at most 2d vertices of C_1 is coloured red and the other vertices of C_1 are coloured blue, and that a set X_2 of at most 2d vertices of C_2 are either all coloured red or all coloured blue, while all the other vertices of C_2 have the opposite colour. If the vertices of X_2 are all coloured red, then we can proceed exactly the same way as in Case 11.1.

It remains to consider those branches where we guess X_1 and colour all its vertices red, and we guess X_2 and colour all its vertices blue. In this case, we consider all $\mathcal{O}(n^{4d^2})$ possible red-blue colourings of the neighbourhood of $X_1 \cup X_2$ in N. For each of them, we colour-process and then consider the uncoloured vertices in N. If they only have blue neighbours, we can safely colour them blue. If they only have red neighbours, we can safely colour them red.

Suppose that there still exist uncoloured vertices in N. We first consider the case when we have an uncoloured vertex $b \in N$ that in C_1 has a neighbour x as well as a non-neighbour x' and that in C_2 has a neighbour y as well as a non-neighbour y'. Since C_1 induces a connected graph, we may assume that x is adjacent to x' and similarly, we may assume that y is adjacent to y'. Hence $\{x', x, b, y, y'\}$ induces a P_5 in G; see also Figure 3. Of the vertices x', x, b, y, y', only b belongs to N. Hence, this P_5 is also an induced P_5 in G + F. We now



Fig. 3: Illustration of Case 11.2. Lines represent edges and dashed lines represent non-edges. Note that x' and y' may not belong to X_1 and X_2 , respectively, but this is not relevant (it only matters that they are not adjacent to b).

colour all uncoloured vertices in the neighbourhood of $\{x', x, y, y'\}$ in N. This yields $\mathcal{O}(n^{4d})$ further branches.

Suppose that after colour-processing again, we still have an uncoloured vertex b' in N. Note that b' is adjacent to none of x', x, y, y', otherwise it would have been coloured. If $b'b \notin E(F)$, then $\{b'\} \cup \{x', x, b, y\}$ induces a $P_1 + P_4$ in G + F. If $b'b \in E(F)$, then $\{y'\} \cup \{x', x, b, b'\}$ induces a $P_1 + P_4$ in G + F. So, in both cases, we obtain a contradiction. Hence, there are no uncoloured vertices left. We check in polynomial time whether the obtained red-blue colouring of G is a red-blue d-colouring. If so, we are done. Otherwise, we discard this branch and consider the next branch.

Now we consider the remaining case, in which every uncoloured vertex in N is either complete or anti-complete to either C_1 or C_2 .

First suppose that N contains an uncoloured vertex b that is anti-complete to, say, C_1 . As b is uncoloured, b is adjacent to a blue vertex x (as well as to a red vertex). As b is anti-complete to C_1 , x must belong to C_2 . Hence, x belongs to X_2 . This is a contradiction, as we already coloured all neighbours of X_2 in N.

Now suppose that every uncoloured vertex in N is complete to either C_1 or C_2 . Let b be an uncoloured vertex in N that is complete to, say, C_1 ; note that this means that $X_1 = \emptyset$. We recall that every vertex of $C_1 \setminus X_1 = C_1$ has the same colour, and also that we colour-processed. Hence, C_1 has at most d vertices, as otherwise we would have given b the colour of C_1 .

We now also colour the neighbourhood of C_1 in N in every possible way. This yields $\mathcal{O}(n^{d^2})$ further branches. Afterwards we colour-process. Suppose we still have an uncoloured vertex b' in N. Then b' only has neighbours in C_2 . As b' is not adjacent to any vertex in X_2 , we find that b' only has only red neighbours, and we would have safely coloured b' red in a previous step. Hence, there are no uncoloured vertex left in N. It remains to check in polynomial time if the obtained red-blue colouring of G is a red-blue d-colouring. If so, we are done. Otherwise, we discard this branch and consider the next branch.

As the number of branches is polynomial, and we can process each branch in polynomial time, our algorithm takes polynomial time if this case occurs (the correctness of our algorithm follows from the case description).

Case 11.3: G[P] has at least three connected components. Let the connected components of G[P] have vertex sets C_1, \ldots, C_r for some



Fig. 4: Illustration of the types described in Case 11.3, specified by vertex label. A (dashed) purple line between a vertex $v \in N$ and some C_i represents that v is (anti-)complete to C_i . A black (dashed) edge between a vertex $v \in N$ and a vertex in P represents a (non)-edge.

 $r \geq 3$. We partition N into four types of vertices. Let $v \in N$. If v is complete to P, then we say that v is of type-A.

Now, suppose that v is not of type-A, but that v has a neighbour in every component of G[P]. We say that v is of type-B and make the following observation. We know that v is not complete to some set C_i , so we can find adjacent vertices x_i and x'_i in C_i such that x_i but not x'_i is adjacent to v. Suppose that vis not complete to another set C_j , so there is a vertex x'_j in C_j not adjacent to v. Let x_k be a vertex adjacent to v in the vertex set of a third component. We now have that $\{x'_j\} \cup \{x'_i, x_i, v, x_k\}$ forms an induced $P_1 + P_4$ in G, and consequently in G + F, as it only contains one vertex of N, namely v. Hence, a type-B vertex is complete to all but one set C_i , in which it has least one neighbour.

Now, suppose that v is anti-complete to some C_h , so v is neither of type-A nor of type-B. We say that v is of type-C if v has a neighbour in at least two other components C_i and C_j . Suppose that v has a non-neighbour in either C_i or C_j , say in C_i . Let $x_h \in C_h$, $x_i, x'_i \in C_i$ such that $x_i x'_i$ is an edge with only x_i adjacent to v, and let $x_j \in C_j$ be adjacent to v. Now, $\{x_h\} \cup \{x'_i, x_i, v, x_j\}$ induces a $P_1 + P_4$ in G, and also in G + F as it has only one vertex from N, a contradiction as G + F is $(P_1 + P_4)$ -free. Hence, v is complete to every C_j in which it has a neighbour. So, a type-C vertex of N is complete to at least two and at most r - 1 sets in $\{C_1, \ldots, C_r\}$ and anti-complete to all other sets in $\{C_1, \ldots, C_r\}$.

Finally, if v is neither type-A nor type-B nor type-C, then v is of type-D. As G is connected, a type-D vertex v has at least one neighbour in one set C_i and is anti-complete to every other set in $\{C_1, \ldots, C_r\}$. See Figure 4 for an illustration. We distinguish between the following three cases:

Case 11.3.1 N has a type-A vertex.

Let $v \in N$ be of type-A. We colour v blue and we consider all $\mathcal{O}(n^d)$ possible red-blue colourings of its neighbourhood N(v) = P. We then find a set $Q \subseteq P$ of at most d vertices in P that are coloured red. As we already checked whether Ghas a red-blue d-colouring in which P is monochromatic, we may assume that Qis a proper non-empty subset of P. We now consider all possible $\mathcal{O}(n^{d^2})$ possible red-blue colourings of the neighbourhood of Q in N. For each one of them, the uncoloured vertices in N only have blue neighbours and form an independent set, we can safely colour them blue. Note that at least one vertex is red and at least one is blue. It remains to check whether the obtained colouring of G is a red-blue *d*-colouring. If so, we are done, and otherwise we discard the branch. Hence, as the number of branches is polynomial, and we can process each branch in polynomial time, this case takes polynomial time.

Case 11.3.2 N has no type-A vertices, but N has a type-B vertex.

Let $v \in N$ be of type-B. We assume without loss of generality that v has a neighbour and a non-neighbour in C_1 and is complete to C_2, \ldots, C_r . We colour vblue, and we consider all $\mathcal{O}(n^d)$ options of choosing a set X_v of at most d red neighbours of v in P. We colour all other neighbours of v in P blue. Hence, afterwards part of C_1 is coloured, while all vertices of every C_i with $i \in \{2, \ldots, r\}$ are coloured. Moreover, by Lemma 10, we find that any red-blue d-colouring of G (if one exists) either colours at most 2d vertices of C_1 red, or else it colours at most 2d vertices of C_1 blue. Hence, we also consider all $\mathcal{O}(n^{2d})$ options for choosing a set $X \subseteq C_1$ of size at most 2d that consists of these vertices. We colour the vertices of $C_1 \setminus X$ with the opposite colour. We also colour the neighbourhood of $X_v \cup X$ in N in every possible way. This yields another $\mathcal{O}(n^{3d^2})$ branches. For each branch, we colour-process. If afterwards we find an uncoloured vertex in Nwhose neighbourhood in P is monochromatic, then we give it the unique colour of the vertices in its neighbourhood in P.

Suppose that afterwards there still exist uncoloured vertices in N. Let b be an arbitrary uncoloured vertex in N and note that b is adjacent to both a blue vertex x and a red vertex y in P. As we coloured the neighbourhood of $X_v \cup X$, neither x nor y belongs to $X_v \cup X$. As X_v contains all red vertices in N(v), it follows that y belongs to $C_1 \setminus N(v)$, and thus $y \in C_1 \setminus X$. Consequently, all vertices of X are blue. As x, which is blue, is not in X, and all vertices in $C_1 \setminus X$ are red, x belongs to some set C_i with $i \ge 2$. Hence, all uncoloured vertices have a neighbour in C_1 and a neighbour in at least one other C_i . As N has no type-A vertices, every uncoloured vertex in N is of type-B or of type-C.

First, suppose that N has an uncoloured vertex b that is of type-B. By definition, b is complete to r-1 sets in $\{C_1, \ldots, C_r\}$. Let Y be the union of these r-1 sets. We recall that b is uncoloured and that all vertices in every C_i are coloured. Hence, Y must contain at most 2d vertices in total, otherwise we would have given b a colour during colour-processing. We now consider all $\mathcal{O}(n^{2d^2})$ possible red-blue colourings of the neighbourhood of Y in N. Afterwards, there are no uncoloured vertices left, as every uncoloured vertex was of type-B or of type-C and thus must have a neighbour in Y. It remains to check whether the obtained colouring of G is a red-blue d-colouring. If so, we are done, and otherwise we discard the branch.

So we can now assume that all uncoloured vertices are of type-C. Let b again denote an uncoloured vertex in N. From the definition of type-C, it follows that b is either complete or anti-complete to every set C_i . Recall that all uncoloured vertices of N, and thus b, have a neighbour in C_1 . Hence, b is complete to C_1 . Note that b is anti-complete to X, as otherwise b would have been coloured. This means that $X = \emptyset$, and thus every vertex of C_1 is coloured red. As b is uncoloured and we have colour-processed, this means that C_1 has size at most d. Hence, we



Fig. 5: A *P*-dominating pair $\{u, v\}$.

can consider all $\mathcal{O}(n^{d^2})$ possible red-blue colourings of the neighbourhood of C_1 in N. Afterwards, all uncoloured vertices in N have received a colour (as they were all complete to C_1). It remains to check whether the obtained colouring of G is a red-blue *d*-colouring. If so, we are done, otherwise we discard the branch.

As the number of branches is polynomial, and we can process each branch in polynomial time, our algorithm takes polynomial time if this case occurs.

Case 11.3.3 N has no type-A and no type-B vertices.

As G is connected and $r \ge 3$, G must have vertices that are adjacent to at least two sets C_i . As G has no vertices of type-A or type-B, all of these vertices must be of type-C. Let u and v be two type-C vertices, such that the following holds:

- (i) u is complete to some C_h , but anti-complete to C_i ;
- (ii) v is anti-complete to C_h , but complete to C_i ; and
- (iii) u and v are both complete to some C_j .

In this case, we claim that $\{u, v\}$ is a *P*-dominating pair, that is, every C_i is complete to a least one of u, v; see Figure 5. For a contradiction, suppose that neither u nor v is complete to some C_k (so both are anti-complete to C_k as they are of type-C). Let $z \in C_k$. If $uv \notin E(F)$, then a vertex of C_h , u, a vertex of C_j and v form, together with z, an induced $P_1 + P_4$ in G + F. If $uv \in E(F)$, then a vertex of C_h , u, v and a vertex of C_i , together with z, form an induced $P_1 + P_4$ in G + F. So, in both cases, we derive a contradiction.

We now continue with the description of our algorithm. We choose a type-C vertex $v \in N$ such that v is complete to a maximum number of sets C_i over all type-C vertices of N. We say that v is of maximum type-C. From the definition of type-C, it follows that v is anti-complete to at least one set in $\{C_1, \ldots, C_r\}$. Let C_h be such a set. As G is connected, G contains a path from v to the vertices in C_h . Hence, without loss of generality, there exists a type-C vertex $u \in N$ that is complete to C_h and to at least one other C_j to which v is also complete. As v is of maximum type-C and v is not complete to C_h , to which u is complete, we find that u is also anti-complete to some set C_i to which v is complete. Hence, $\{u, v\}$ satisfies (i)–(iii), so $\{u, v\}$ is a P-dominating pair.

As $\{u, v\}$ is a *P*-dominating pair, we can colour *P* as follows. First suppose *u* and *v* are coloured alike, say both are coloured blue. We proceed in exactly the same way as in Case 11.1. As *u* and *v* can each have at most *d* red neighbours, we may assume that a set *X* of at most 2*d* vertices of *P* is coloured red. We guess *X*. As we already checked whether *G* has a red-blue *d*-colouring in which *P* is monochromatic, we may assume that *X* is a proper non-empty subset of *P*. We colour every vertex of $P \setminus X$ red. Afterwards, we consider all $\mathcal{O}(n^{2d})$ possible

red-blue colourings of the neighbourhood of X in N. For each one of them, we consider the uncoloured vertices in N. As these only have blue neighbours, we can safely colour them blue. Note that at least one vertex is red and at least one vertex is blue. It remains to check in polynomial time whether the obtained colouring of G is indeed a red-blue d-colouring.

Now suppose u is coloured red and v is coloured blue. We guess a set X_u of at most d blue neighbours of u in P. We colour all other neighbours of u in P red. Similarly, we guess a set X_v of at most d blue neighbours of v in P. We colour all other neighbours of v in P red. This gives us $\mathcal{O}(n^{2d})$ branches. We note that every vertex of P has been coloured, as $\{u, v\}$ is a P-dominating pair. We now colour the neighbourhood of $X_u \cup X_v$ in N in every possible way. This gives us a further $\mathcal{O}(n^{2d^2})$ branches.

We let C_1^*, \ldots, C_q^* be those sets C_i that contain a vertex of $X_u \cup X_v$. We let C_1^u, \ldots, C_s^u be those sets C_i that contain no vertex of $X_u \cup X_v$ and are coloured red. We let C_1^v, \ldots, C_t^v be those sets C_i that contain no vertex of $X_u \cup X_v$ and are coloured blue. Note that every C_i belongs to one of these three families of sets, but some of these families might be empty.

As v is coloured blue, its neighbours not in X_v are blue. Hence, v is anticomplete to every C_i^u . Similarly, u is anti-complete to every C_j^v . Recall that $\{u, v\}$ is a P-dominating pair and that each of u, v, being of type-C, is either complete or anti-complete to a set C_i . Consequently, u is complete to every C_i^u and v is complete to every C_i^v .

If C_1^u exists, then we select an arbitrary vertex $x_1 \in C_1^u$, and we colour the neighbourhood of x_1 in N in every possible way. This leads to $\mathcal{O}(n^d)$ additional branches. We do the same if C_1^v exists, leading to another $\mathcal{O}(n^d)$ branches. We now colour-process. Afterwards, we colour any vertex in N with monochromatic neighbourhood in P with the unique colour of its neighbours in P.

We claim that every vertex of type-D has now been coloured. For a contradiction, suppose $z \in N$ is of type-D and has no colour yet. We recall that all C_i^u and C_j^v are monochromatic and that z, being type-D, only has neighbours in exactly one C_i . This means that z must have both a blue neighbour and a red neighbour in some C_i^* . However, one of these two neighbours of z belongs to $X_u \cup X_v$, meaning z would have been coloured.

Hence, the only vertices of G that are possibly still uncoloured are type-C vertices in N. Let $b \in N$ be an uncoloured vertex of type-C. This means that b has both a red neighbour and a blue neighbour in P. By definition, b is either complete or anti-complete to C_i for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. This means that b is anti-complete to every C_h^* , as we coloured the neighbourhood of $C_h^* \cap (X_u \cup X_v)$, which is a non-empty set by definition. Hence, the red neighbour of b must be some $z^u \in C_i^u$, and the blue neighbour of b must be some $z^v \in C_j^v$. From the above, we find that b is anti-complete to C_1^u and C_1^v (as we coloured the neighbourhood of one of the vertices in them). Hence, we have $i \ge 2$ and $j \ge 2$. Let $y^u \in C_1^u$ and $y^v \in C_1^v$. If $bv \notin F$, then $\{y^u\} \cup \{z^u, b, z^v, v\}$ induces a $P_1 + P_4$ in G + F. See Figure 6 for an illustration. In both cases, we obtain a contradiction.



Fig. 6: Illustration of Case 11.3.3, where there is a *P*-dominating pair $\{u, v\}$ made of vertices of type-C and another type-C vertex *b* that has not been coloured yet. The graph G + F contains an induced $P_1 + P_4$ (highlighted by large vertices and thick edges) regardless of whether there is an edge between *v* and *b* or not.



Fig. 7: An example of a graph G from the proof of Theorem 12 with edges uv, vw and wz, together with the graph G' + F, where the edges of F are blue.

From the above, we conclude that there are no uncoloured vertices, and we have obtained a red-blue colouring of G. It now remains to check in polynomial time whether this is a red-blue *d*-colouring. If so, then we are done. Otherwise, we discard this branch and move on to the next branch. As the number of branches is polynomial, and we can process each branch in polynomial time, our algorithm runs in polynomial time (its correctness follows from its description).

4 NP-Completeness Results

To finish the proof of Theorem 4, we must show that that 1-CUT and PERFECT MATCHING CUT are NP-complete on probe $2P_2$ -free graphs and probe $K_{1,3}$ -free graphs and that for $d \geq 2$, d-CUT is NP-complete on probe $2P_2$ -free graphs and probe $4P_1$ -free graphs. All other NP-completeness results follow directly from the corresponding NP-completeness results in Theorems 2 and 3. We recall that the NP-completeness of 2-CUT for $K_{1,3}$ -free graphs was recently shown [1]. As we shall see below, we often prove NP-completeness for even more restricted classes of probe graphs.

We start with the following result, which we recall is in contrast to the polynomial-time result of 1-CUT for $K_{1,3}$ -free graphs due to Bonsma [5]. Its proof is based on an observation of Moshi [27].

Theorem 12. 1-CUT is NP-complete on probe $K_{1,3}$ -free graphs.



Fig. 8: An example of a graph G from the proof of Theorem 13, together with the graphs G' and G' + F, from left to right, where the edges of F are coloured blue.

Proof. We reduce from 1-CUT, which we recall is NP-complete [9]. From a connected graph G = (V, E) we construct a connected graph G' by replacing each edge uv with two new vertices x_{uv}^1 and x_{uv}^2 , which we call *intermediate*, and edges ux_{uv}^1 , ux_{uv}^2 , vx_{uv}^1 and vx_{uv}^2 . See Figure 7 for an example.

We claim that G' is probe $K_{1,3}$ -free. To see this, let N be the set of all intermediate vertices. Note that N is an independent set in G'. Let F consist of all edges between any two intermediate vertices that have at least one common neighbour in V; see also Figure 7.

We claim that G' + F is $K_{1,3}$ -free. For a contradiction, suppose that G' + F has an induced claw say with center z. By construction, the neighbourhood in G' + F of every $u \in V$ is a clique. Hence, $z = x_{uv}^i$ for some $uv \in E$ and $i \in \{1,2\}$. Furthermore, all neighbours of z in V(G' + F) can be partitioned into a pair of cliques. The first consists of u and x_{uw}^1, x_{uw}^2 for every w such that $uw \in E$ and the second consists of v and x_{uv}^1, x_{wv}^2 for every w such that $wv \in E$. This contradicts our assumption that z is the centre of an induced claw.

Moshi [27] showed that a graph has a 1-cut if and only if the graph obtained from it by replacing an edge uv with two new vertices x_{uv}^1 and x_{uv}^2 , and edges ux_{uv}^1 , ux_{uv}^2 , vx_{uv}^1 and vx_{uv}^2 has a matching cut. Hence, G has a 1-cut if and only if G' has a 1-cut, which means the theorem is proven.

The diamond $\overline{2P_1 + P_2}$ is obtained from taking the complement of $2P_1 + P_2$, or equivalently, from the K_4 after removing an edge. A graph is *subcubic* if it has maximum degree at most 3. The proof of our next result is similar to a corresponding result for VERTEX COVER from [6] except that we reduce from a different known NP-complete problem.

Theorem 13. PERFECT MATCHING CUT is NP-complete on the class of probe $(K_{1,3}, \overline{2P_1 + P_2})$ -free subcubic planar graphs (and thus on probe $K_{1,3}$ -free graphs).

Proof. We reduce from PERFECT MATCHING CUT. Bonnet, Chakraborty and Duron [4] proved that PERFECT MATCHING CUT is NP-complete even for cubic bipartite planar graphs. As such, we may assume that the instance G of PERFECT MATCHING CUT is cubic, bipartite and planar.

We subdivide each edge of G four times, that is, we replace each $uv \in E(G)$ with four vertices $y_{uv}^1, \ldots, y_{uv}^4$ and edges $uy_{uv}^1, y_{uv}^1y_{uv}^2, y_{uv}^2y_{uv}^3, y_{uv}^3y_{uv}^4$ and y_{uv}^4v . Let G' be the resulting graph. We say that the vertices y_{uv}^1 and y_{uv}^4 are the *intermediate-close* vertices of G'. See Figure 8 for an example.

We claim that G' is a probe $(K_{1,3}, \overline{2P_1 + P_2})$ -free subcubic planar graph. In order to see this, let N be the set of intermediate-close vertices of G'. We note that N is an independent set in G'. As G is cubic, every $u \in V(G)$ has three intermediate-close neighbours in G'. We select exactly one edge between two of them to be in F, while maintaining planarity; see also Figure 8. Hence, G' + F is planar. Moreover, it is readily seen that G' + F is $(K_{1,3}, \overline{2P_1 + P_2})$ -free. Finally, as intermediate-close vertices in G' have degree 2, it follows that G' + Fis subcubic.

Le and Telle [21] proved that a graph has a perfect matching cut if and only if the graph obtained from it by subdividing an edge four times has a perfect matching cut. Hence, G has a perfect matching cut if and only if G' has a perfect matching cut, which means the theorem is proven.

We recall that a graph is split if and only if it is $(2P_2, C_4, C_5)$ -free, and we show:

Theorem 14. For every $d \ge 1$, d-CUT and PERFECT MATCHING CUT are NP-complete on probe split graphs (and thus on probe $2P_2$ -free graphs).

Proof. It is known that for every $d \ge 1$, *d*-CUT and PERFECT MATCHING CUT are NP-complete for bipartite graphs. The former statement was shown in [27] for d = 1 and in [13] for $d \ge 2$. The latter statement was shown in [21].

Let G be a connected bipartite graph. Let N be one of the two bipartition classes. Note that N is an independent set. Let F consist of all the edges between vertices of N. Then G + F is a split graph. Hence, the theorem follows. \Box

The proof of our final result is similar to the proof of Lucke et al. [23] for showing that *d*-CUT is NP-complete for $3P_2$ -free graphs for every $d \ge 2$.

Theorem 15. For every $d \ge 2$, d-CUT is NP-complete on probe $4P_1$ -free graphs.

Proof. We first suppose that d = 2. We reduce from a restricted variant of the 3-SATISFIABILITY problem. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of variables, and let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m\}$ be a set of clauses over X. A *truth assignment* sets each x_i either true or false. The 3-SATISFIABILITY problem is that of deciding whether (X, \mathcal{C}) has a *satisfying* truth assignment ϕ , that is, ϕ sets at least one literal true in each C_i . Darmann and Döcker [11] proved that 3-SATISFIABILITY is NP-complete even for instances in which:

- (i) each variable occurs as a positive literal in exactly two clauses and as a negative literal in exactly two other clauses, and
- (ii) each clause consists of three distinct literals that are either all positive or all negative.



Fig. 9: An example of a graph G in the proof of Theorem 15, namely when $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_6\}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, \{x_1, x_3, x_4\}, \{x_2, x_5, x_6\}, \{x_4, x_5, x_6\}\} \cup \{\{\overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, \overline{x_4}\}, \{\overline{x_1}, \overline{x_3}, \overline{x_5}\}, \{\overline{x_2}, \overline{x_4}, \overline{x_6}\}, \{\overline{x_3}, \overline{x_5}, \overline{x_6}\}\}$. For readability the edges inside the cliques K and K' are not shown. The figure is based on a corresponding figure from [23].

By (ii), we can write $C = \{C_1, \ldots, C_p\} \cup \{D_1, \ldots, D_q\}$ for some p and q with p+q=n, where every C_i consists of only positive literals, and every D_j consists of only negative literals. From (X, C), we construct a graph G = (V, E). We first introduce a clique $K = \{C_1, \ldots, C_p\}$, a clique $K' = \{D_1, \ldots, D_q\}$ and an independent set $I = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, such that K, K', I are pairwise disjoint and $V = K \cup K' \cup I$. We add an edge between x_h and C_i if and only if x_h occurs as a literal in C_i . Finally, we add an edge between x_h and D_j if and only if x_h occurs as a literal in D_j . See Figure 9 for an example.

We claim that G is probe $4P_1$ -free. That is, we can set N = I, and add all edges between the vertices of I. This results in a graph G' that is the union of three cliques I, K, K', and thus G' is $4P_1$ -free. This claim is the only additional claim compared to the proof in [23].

We claim that (X, \mathcal{C}) is a yes-instance of 3-SATISFIABILITY if and only if G has a 2-cut.

First suppose (X, \mathcal{C}) is a yes-instance of 3-SATISFIABILITY. Then X has a truth assignment ϕ that sets at least one literal true in each C_i and in each D_j . In I, for $h \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we colour the vertex x_h red if ϕ sets x_h to be true, and otherwise we colour x_h blue. We colour all the vertices in K red and all the vertices in K' blue.

Consider a vertex x_h in I. First suppose that x_h is coloured red. As each literal appears in exactly two clauses from $\{D_1, \ldots, D_q\}$, we find that x_h has only two blue neighbours (which all belong to K'). Now suppose that x_h is coloured blue. As each literal appears in exactly two clauses from $\{C_1, \ldots, C_p\}$, we find that x_h has only two red neighbours (which all belong to K).

Now consider a vertex C_i in K, which is coloured red. As C_i consists of three distinct positive literals and ϕ sets at least one positive literal of C_i to be true,

 C_i is adjacent to at most two blue vertices in I. Now consider a vertex D_j in K', which is coloured blue. As D_j consists of three distinct negative literals and ϕ sets at least one negative literal of D_j to be true, we find that D_j is adjacent to at most two red vertices in I.

Now suppose G has a 2-cut. By Observation 5, this means that G has a redblue 2-colouring f. We may assume without loss of generality that $|K| = p+1 \ge 5$ and $|K'| = q + 1 \ge 5$ (otherwise we can solve the problem by brute force). Hence, both K and K' are monochromatic. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that f colours every vertex of K red. For a contradiction, suppose f also colours every vertex of K' red. As f must colour at least one vertex of G blue, this means that I contains a blue vertex x_i . As each variable occurs as a positive literal in exactly two clauses and as a negative literal in exactly two other clauses, we now find that a blue vertex, x_i , has two red neighbours in K and two red neighbours in K', so four red neighbours in total, a contradiction. We conclude that f must colour every vertex of K' blue.

Recall that every C_i and every D_j consists of three literals. Hence, every vertex in $K \cup K'$ has three neighbours in I. As every vertex C_i in K is red, this means that at least one neighbour of C_i in I must be red. As every vertex D_j in K' is blue, this means that at least one neighbour of D_j in I must be blue. Hence, setting x_i to true if x_i is red in G and to false if x_i is blue in G gives us a satisfying truth assignment of X.

Now, we consider the case when $d \geq 3$. We adjust G as follows. We first modify K into a larger clique by adding, for each x_h , a set L_h of d-3 new vertices. We also modify K' into a larger clique by adding for each x_h , a set L'_h of d-3 vertices. For each $h \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we make x_h complete to both L_h and to L'_h . Finally, we add additional edges between vertices in $K \cup L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_n$ and vertices in $K' \cup L'_1 \cup \ldots \cup L'_n$, in such a way that every vertex in K has d-2 neighbours in K', and vice versa. The modified graph G is still probe $4P_1$ -free, and also still has size polynomial in m and n. The remainder of the proof uses the same arguments as before.

5 Conclusions

We showed exactly for which graphs H, polynomial results for d-CUT ($d \ge 1$), PERFECT MATCHING CUT and MAXIMUM MATCHING CUT can be extended from H-free graphs to probe H-free graphs. This yielded complete complexity dichotomies for all three problems on probe H-free graphs.

We note that Theorems 1 and 2 still contain open cases. We also propose to study other graph problems on probe H-free graphs; so far, systematic studies have only been performed for VERTEX COVER [6] and the problems in this paper.

References

1. Ahn, J., Eagling-Vose, T., Lucke, F., Paulusma, D., Smith, S.: Finding *d*-cuts in claw-free graphs. CoRR **arXiv:2505.17993** (2025)

- Araújo, J., Cohen, N., Giroire, F., Havet, F.: Good edge-labelling of graphs. Discrete Applied Mathematics 160, 2502–2513 (2012)
- Berry, A., Golumbic, M.C., Lipshteyn, M.: Recognizing chordal probe graphs and cycle-bicolorable graphs. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 21, 573–591 (2007)
- Bonnet, E., Chakraborty, D., Duron, J.: Cutting Barnette graphs perfectly is hard. Proc. WG 2023, LNCS 14093, 116–129 (2023)
- 5. Bonsma, P.S.: The complexity of the Matching-Cut problem for planar graphs and other graph classes. Journal of Graph Theory **62**, 109–126 (2009)
- Brettell, N., Oostveen, J.J., Pandey, S., Paulusma, D., Rauch, J., van Leeuwen, E.J.: Computing subset vertex covers in h-free graphs. Theoretical Computer Science 1032, 115088 (2025), conference version in Proc. FCT 2024
- Chandler, D.B., Chang, M., Kloks, T., Liu, J., Peng, S.: On probe permutation graphs. Discrete Applied Mathematics 157, 2611–2619 (2009)
- Chang, M., Kloks, T., Kratsch, D., Liu, J., Peng, S.: On the recognition of probe graphs of some self-complementary classes of perfect graphs. Proc. COCOON 2005, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 3595, 808–817 (2005)
- Chvátal, V.: Recognizing decomposable graphs. Journal of Graph Theory 8, 51–53 (1984)
- Courcelle, B., Makowsky, J.A., Rotics, U.: Linear time solvable optimization problems on graphs of bounded clique-width. Theory of Computing Systems 33, 125– 150 (2000)
- Darmann, A., Döcker, J.: On simplified NP-complete variants of Monotone 3-Sat. Discrete Applied Mathematics 292, 45–58 (2021)
- Farley, A.M., Proskurowski, A.: Networks immune to isolated line failures. Networks 12, 393–403 (1982)
- Feghali, C., Lucke, F., Paulusma, D., Ries, B.: Matching cuts in graphs of high girth and H-free graphs. Proc. ISAAC 2023, LIPIcs 283, 28:1–28:16 (2023)
- Golovach, P.A., Paulusma, D., Song, J.: Computing vertex-surjective homomorphisms to partially reflexive trees. Theoretical Computer Science 457, 86–100 (2012)
- Golumbic, M.C., Lipshteyn, M.: Chordal probe graphs. Discrete Applied Mathematics 143, 221–237 (2004)
- Golumbic, M.C., Maffray, F., Morel, G.: A characterization of chain probe graphs. Annals of Operations Research 188, 175–183 (2011)
- 17. Gomes, G., Sau, I.: Finding cuts of bounded degree: complexity, FPT and exact algorithms, and kernelization. Algorithmica 83, 1677–1706 (2021)
- Graham, R.L.: On primitive graphs and optimal vertex assignments. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 175, 170–186 (1970)
- Heggernes, P., Telle, J.A.: Partitioning graphs into generalized dominating sets. Nordic Journal of Computing 5, 128–142 (1998)
- Le, H., Le, V.B.: Complexity results for matching cut problems in graphs without long induced paths. Proc. WG 2023, LNCS 14093, 417–431 (2023)
- Le, V.B., Telle, J.A.: The Perfect Matching Cut problem revisited. Theoretical Computer Science 931, 117–130 (2022)
- Lucke, F., Marchand, J., Olbrich, J.: Finding minimum matching cuts in Hfree graphs and graphs of bounded radius and diameter. CoRR abs/2502.18942 (2025)
- Lucke, F., Momeni, A., Paulusma, D., Smith, S.: Finding *d*-cuts in graphs of bounded diameter, graphs of bounded radius and *H*-free graphs. Proc. WG 2024, LNCS 14760, 415–429 (2025)

- 20 K.K. Dabrowski, T. Eagling-Vose, M. Johnson, G. Paesani, D. Paulusma
- Lucke, F., Paulusma, D., Ries, B.: On the complexity of Matching Cut for graphs of bounded radius and *H*-free graphs. Theoretical Computer Science **936**, 33–42 (2022)
- Lucke, F., Paulusma, D., Ries, B.: Finding matching cuts in *H*-free graphs. Algorithmica 85, 3290–3322 (2023)
- Lucke, F., Paulusma, D., Ries, B.: Dichotomies for Maximum Matching Cut: Hfreeness, bounded diameter, bounded radius. Theoretical Computer Science 1017, 114795 (2024)
- 27. Moshi, A.M.: Matching cutsets in graphs. Journal of Graph Theory 13, 527–536 (1989)
- Patrignani, M., Pizzonia, M.: The complexity of the Matching-Cut problem. Proc. WG 2001, LNCS 2204, 284–295 (2001)
- Zhang, P., Schon, E.A., Fischer, S.G., Cayanis, E., Weiss, J., Kistler, S., Bourne, P.E.: An algorithm based on graph theory for the assembly of contigs in physical mapping of DNA. Computer Applications in the Biosciences 10, 309–317 (1994)