Ruby - Bug #20209

YJIT can leak memory by retaining objects with singleton class

01/24/2024 11:06 PM - alanwu (Alan Wu)

Status:	Closed		
Priority:	Normal		
Assignee:			
Target version:			
ruby -v:		Backport:	3.0: DONTNEED, 3.1: DONTNEED, 3.2: DONTNEED, 3.3: DONE

Description

We've received <u>reports</u> of YJIT causing memory leaks in production Rails apps by keeping objects that have singleton classes alive. The symptom is similar to <u>#19436</u>. We have found a workaround with <u>https://github.com/ruby/ruby/pull/9693</u> and would like to have it in the next 3.3 point release.

Associated revisions

Revision 2cc7a56ec7830fd5efaf2bc449637fd831743714 - 01/24/2024 11:06 PM - alanwu (Alan Wu)

YJIT: Avoid leaks by skipping objects with a singleton class

For receiver with a singleton class, there are multiple vectors YJIT can end up retaining the object. There is a path in jit_guard_known_klass() that bakes the receiver into the code, and the object could also be kept alive indirectly through a path starting at the CME object baked into the code.

To avoid these leaks, avoid compiling calls on objects with a singleton class.

See: https://github.com/Shopify/ruby/issues/552

[Bug #20209]

Revision 2cc7a56ec7830fd5efaf2bc449637fd831743714 - 01/24/2024 11:06 PM - alanwu (Alan Wu)

YJIT: Avoid leaks by skipping objects with a singleton class

For receiver with a singleton class, there are multiple vectors YJIT can end up retaining the object. There is a path in jit_guard_known_klass() that bakes the receiver into the code, and the object could also be kept alive indirectly through a path starting at the CME object baked into the code.

To avoid these leaks, avoid compiling calls on objects with a singleton class.

See: https://github.com/Shopify/ruby/issues/552

[Bug #20209]

Revision 2cc7a56e - 01/24/2024 11:06 PM - alanwu (Alan Wu)

YJIT: Avoid leaks by skipping objects with a singleton class

For receiver with a singleton class, there are multiple vectors YJIT can end up retaining the object. There is a path in jit_guard_known_klass() that bakes the receiver into the code, and the object could also be kept alive indirectly through a path starting at the CME object baked into the code.

To avoid these leaks, avoid compiling calls on objects with a singleton class.

See: https://github.com/Shopify/ruby/issues/552

[Bug #20209]

06/26/2025 1/4

Revision cdcabd8a44ee2f4a2b549a3460a5c77c2dffca36 - 03/14/2024 04:26 PM - alanwu (Alan Wu)

Backport 3.3: YJIT memory leak fix with additional CI fixes (#9841)

```
merge revision(s) 2cc7a56e,b0711b1,db5d9429: [Backport #20209]
```

```
YJIT: Avoid leaks by skipping objects with a singleton class
For receiver with a singleton class, there are multiple vectors YJIT can
  end up retaining the object. There is a path in jit_guard_known_klass()
   that bakes the receiver into the code, and the object could also be kept
   alive indirectly through a path starting at the CME object baked into
  the code.
 To avoid these leaks, avoid compiling calls on objects with a singleton
class.
See: https://github.com/Shopify/ruby/issues/552
[Bug #20209]
   yjit/src/cruby_bindings.inc.rs | 1 +
   yjit/src/stats.rs
                        1 2 ++
 4 files changed, 21 insertions(+)
YJIT: Fix tailcall and JIT entry eating up FINISH frames (#9729)
   Suppose YJIT runs a rb_vm_opt_send_without_block()
fallback and the control frame stack looks like:
  will_tailcall_bar [FINISH]
   caller_that_used_fallback
 will_tailcall_bar() runs in the interpreter and sets up a tailcall.
Right before JIT_EXEC() in the `send` instruction, the stack will look like:
  bar [FINISH]
   caller_that_used_fallback
Previously, JIT_EXEC() ran bar() in JIT code, which caused the `FINISH`
  flag to return to the interpreter instead of to the JIT code running
   caller_that_used_fallback(), causing code to run twice and probably
   crash. Recent flaky failures on CI about "each stub expects a particular
   iseq" are probably due to leaving methods twice in
`test_optimizations.rb`.
Only run JIT code from the interpreter if a new frame is pushed.
   test/ruby/test_optimization.rb | 11 ++++++++
                                 | 3 ++-
2 files changed, 13 insertions(+), 1 deletion(-)
YJIT: No need to RESTORE_REG now that we reject tailcalls
Thanks to Kokubun for noticing.
Follow-up: b0711b1cf152afad0a480ee2f9bedd142a0d24ac
   vm_exec.h | 1 -
1 file changed, 1 deletion(-)
```

Revision cdcabd8a44ee2f4a2b549a3460a5c77c2dffca36 - 03/14/2024 04:26 PM - alanwu (Alan Wu)

Backport 3.3: YJIT memory leak fix with additional CI fixes (#9841)

merge revision(s) 2cc7a56e,b0711b1,db5d9429: [Backport #20209]

YJIT: Avoid leaks by skipping objects with a singleton class

06/26/2025 2/4

For receiver with a singleton class, there are multiple vectors YJIT can end up retaining the object. There is a path in jit_guard_known_klass() that bakes the receiver into the code, and the object could also be kept alive indirectly through a path starting at the CME object baked into the code.

To avoid these leaks, avoid compiling calls on objects with a singleton class.

See: https://github.com/Shopify/ruby/issues/552

```
[Bug #20209]
---
yjit/bindgen/src/main.rs | 1 +
yjit/src/codegen.rs | 17 ++++++++++++
yjit/src/cruby_bindings.inc.rs | 1 +
yjit/src/stats.rs | 2 ++
4 files changed, 21 insertions(+)
```

YJIT: Fix tailcall and JIT entry eating up FINISH frames (#9729)

Suppose YJIT runs a rb_vm_opt_send_without_block() fallback and the control frame stack looks like:

```
will_tailcall_bar [FINISH]
caller_that_used_fallback
```

will_tailcall_bar() runs in the interpreter and sets up a tailcall.
Right before JIT_EXEC() in the `send` instruction, the stack will look like:

```
bar [FINISH]
caller_that_used_fallback
```

Previously, JIT_EXEC() ran bar() in JIT code, which caused the `FINISH` flag to return to the interpreter instead of to the JIT code running caller_that_used_fallback(), causing code to run twice and probably crash. Recent flaky failures on CI about "each stub expects a particular iseq" are probably due to leaving methods twice in `test_optimizations.rb`.

YJIT: No need to RESTORE_REG now that we reject tailcalls

Thanks to Kokubun for noticing.

```
Follow-up: b0711b1cf152afad0a480ee2f9bedd142a0d24ac
---
vm_exec.h | 1 -
1 file changed, 1 deletion(-)
```

Revision cdcabd8a - 03/14/2024 04:26 PM - alanwu (Alan Wu)

Backport 3.3: YJIT memory leak fix with additional CI fixes (#9841)

merge revision(s) 2cc7a56e,b0711b1,db5d9429: [Backport #20209]

YJIT: Avoid leaks by skipping objects with a singleton class

For receiver with a singleton class, there are multiple vectors YJIT can end up retaining the object. There is a path in jit_guard_known_klass() that bakes the receiver into the code, and the object could also be kept alive indirectly through a path starting at the CME object baked into the code.

To avoid these leaks, avoid compiling calls on objects with a singleton class.

06/26/2025 3/4

```
See: https://github.com/Shopify/ruby/issues/552
[Bug #20209]
   yjit/src/cruby_bindings.inc.rs | 1 +
   yjit/src/stats.rs
                        | 2 ++
   4 files changed, 21 insertions(+)
YJIT: Fix tailcall and JIT entry eating up FINISH frames (#9729)
   Suppose YJIT runs a rb_vm_opt_send_without_block()
fallback and the control frame stack looks like:
   will_tailcall_bar [FINISH]
   caller_that_used_fallback
 will_tailcall_bar() runs in the interpreter and sets up a tailcall.
Right before JIT_EXEC() in the `send` instruction, the stack will look like:
   bar [FINISH]
   caller_that_used_fallback
Previously, JIT_EXEC() ran bar() in JIT code, which caused the `FINISH`
   flag to return to the interpreter instead of to the JIT code running
   caller\_that\_used\_fallback(), causing code to run twice and probably
   crash. Recent flaky failures on CI about "each stub expects a particular
   iseq" are probably due to leaving methods twice in
`test_optimizations.rb`.
Only run JIT code from the interpreter if a new frame is pushed.
   test/ruby/test_optimization.rb | 11 ++++++++
                                 3 ++-
 2 files changed, 13 insertions(+), 1 deletion(-)
YJIT: No need to RESTORE_REG now that we reject tailcalls
Thanks to Kokubun for noticing.
Follow-up: b0711b1cf152afad0a480ee2f9bedd142a0d24ac
   vm_exec.h | 1 -
1 file changed, 1 deletion(-)
```

History

#1 - 02/05/2024 10:18 PM - alanwu (Alan Wu)

Note, there was an additional fix to stabilize CI after this. I opened a GitHub PR with everything bundled together: https://github.com/ruby/ruby/pull/9841

#2 - 03/20/2024 12:58 PM - naruse (Yui NARUSE)

- Backport changed from 3.0: DONTNEED, 3.1: DONTNEED, 3.2: DONTNEED, 3.3: REQUIRED to 3.0: DONTNEED, 3.1: DONTNEED, 3.2: DONTNEED, 3.3: DONE

 $ruby_3_3\ cdcabd8a44ee2f4a2b549a3460a5c77c2dffca36\ merged\ revision (s)\ 2cc7a56e, b0711b1, db5d9429.$

06/26/2025 4/4