Ruby - Bug #20213

zsuper with keyword splat without explicit keywords incorrectly uses mutable keyword splat

01/25/2024 09:49 PM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)

Status:	Closed		
Priority:	Normal		
Assignee:			
Target version:			
ruby -v:		Backport:	3.0: DONTNEED, 3.1: DONTNEED, 3.2: DONTNEED, 3.3: DONE

Description

As the subject states, the super call in this code is compiled incorrectly:

```
extend(Module.new{def a(**k) k[:a] = 1 end})
extend(Module.new{def a(**k) p k; super; p k end})
a

# Expected output, actual output on Ruby 2.0-3.2:
{}
{}
# Actual output on Ruby 3.3 and master
{}
{:a=>1}
```

The zsuper call here uses VM CALL KW SPLAT MUT:

That is not correct, because as the example shows, if the super method accepts a keyword splat, the super method can modify the keyword splat, and changes are reflected in the caller.

I submitted a pull request to fix this: https://github.com/ruby/ruby/pull/9710, and marked this for backporting to 3.3.

Associated revisions

Revision 69cee6fee50f63cd52d59325dc3780a6fc4e5ae2 - 03/20/2024 11:05 AM - NARUSE, Yui

merge revision(s) 771a2f039b9a059a73e8f111d1d46590fa697f63: [Backport #20213] (#10297)

Fix incorrect use of VM_CALL_KW_SPLAT_MUT in zsuper with keyword splat

```
For zsuper calls with a keyword splat but no actual keywords, the keyword splat is passed directly, so it cannot be mutable, because if the callee accepts a keyword splat, changes to the keyword splat by the callee would be reflected in the caller.
```

```
While here, simplify the logic when the method supports literal keywords. I don't think it is possible for a method with has_kw param flags to not have keywords, so add an assertion for that, and set VM_CALL_KW_SPLAT_MUT in a single place.
```

Revision 69cee6fee50f63cd52d59325dc3780a6fc4e5ae2 - 03/20/2024 11:05 AM - NARUSE, Yui

merge revision(s) 771a2f039b9a059a73e8f111d1d46590fa697f63: [Backport #20213] (#10297)

Fix incorrect use of VM_CALL_KW_SPLAT_MUT in zsuper with keyword splat

```
For zsuper calls with a keyword splat but no actual keywords, the keyword splat is passed directly, so it cannot be mutable, because if the callee accepts a keyword splat, changes to the keyword splat by the callee would be reflected in the caller.
```

```
While here, simplify the logic when the method supports literal keywords. I don't think it is possible for
```

06/28/2025

a method with has_kw param flags to not have keywords, so add an assertion for that, and set $VM_CALL_KW_SPLAT_MUT$ in a single place.

Revision 69cee6fe - 03/20/2024 11:05 AM - NARUSE, Yui

merge revision(s) 771a2f039b9a059a73e8f111d1d46590fa697f63: [Backport #20213] (#10297)

Fix incorrect use of VM_CALL_KW_SPLAT_MUT in zsuper with keyword splat

For zsuper calls with a keyword splat but no actual keywords, the keyword splat is passed directly, so it cannot be mutable, because if the callee accepts a keyword splat, changes to the keyword splat by the callee would be reflected in the caller.

While here, simplify the logic when the method supports literal keywords. I don't think it is possible for a method with has_kw param flags to not have keywords, so add an assertion for that, and set VM_CALL_KW_SPLAT_MUT in a single place.

History

#1 - 01/26/2024 04:54 AM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)

- Status changed from Open to Closed

Fixed by <u>771a2f039b9a059a73e8f111d1d46590fa697f63</u>

#2 - 03/20/2024 12:48 PM - naruse (Yui NARUSE)

- Backport changed from 3.0: DONTNEED, 3.1: DONTNEED, 3.2: DONTNEED, 3.3: REQUIRED to 3.0: DONTNEED, 3.1: DONTNEED, 3.2: DONTNEED, 3.3: DONE

ruby_3_3 69cee6fee50f63cd52d59325dc3780a6fc4e5ae2 merged revision(s) 771a2f039b9a059a73e8f111d1d46590fa697f63.

06/28/2025 2/2