

Ruby - Feature #11717

Object#trap -- pass object to block and return result

11/19/2015 08:50 PM - zverok (Victor Shepelev)

Status:	Closed	
Priority:	Normal	
Assignee:		
Target version:		
Description <p>Object#trap can be thought as useful counterpart for Object#tap: like tap, it passes object to the block; unlike tap, it returns results of the block, not object itself.</p> Rationale <p>#trap could allow to gracefully chain processing of objects, which isn't Enumerable, therefore enforcing "functional" style in Ruby (which considered good).</p> Use case <pre># Assume we grab some resource from web: SomeWebClient.get('http://some/url', param1: 'value1', param2: 'value2').body # And now, the body of response is JSON, and we want it parsed. How to express it? # Option 1: wrap: JSON.parse(SomeWebClient.get('http://some/url', param1: 'value1', param2: 'value2').body) # Downside: messy parenthesis, and need to jump back and forth to understand the meaning # Option 2: intermediate variable: s = SomeWebClient.get('http://some/url', param1: 'value1', param2: 'value2').body JSON.parse(s) # Downside: intermediate variable is not elegant # Option 3: monkey-patch (or refine) SomeWebClient.get('http://some/url', param1: 'value1', param2: 'value2').body.from_json # Downside: monkey-patching is a last resort; also, your classes should be already patched when you u stuck with this case # Option 4 (proposed): trap SomeWebClient.get('http://some/url', param1: 'value1', param2: 'value2').body. trap{ s JSON.parse(s)} # => parsed JSON</pre> <p>And when you are thinking with code, experimenting with code (especially in irb, but in editor too), only last option is "natural" river of thoughts: do this, then do that (extract data from web, then parse it).</p> Naming <ul style="list-style-type: none">• it is similar enough to tap;• it is specific enough to not be used widely in some popular library (or isn't it?);• mnemonic is "do something and trap (catch) the value". <p>WDYT?</p>		
Related issues:		
Is duplicate of Ruby - Feature #6721: Object#yield_self		Closed
Has duplicate Ruby - Feature #12760: Optional block argument for `itself`		Closed
Has duplicate Ruby - Feature #13172: Method that yields object to block and r...		Closed

History

#1 - 11/19/2015 10:49 PM - 0x0dea (D.E. Akers)

You're looking for #instance_eval:

```
'foo'.instance_eval { |obj| obj.size } # => 3
```

#2 - 11/19/2015 11:02 PM - zverok (Victor Shepelev)

Nope.

I'm aware of `#instance_eval` last 10 years or so (I even can recall times when `#instance_exec` were external library method, not part of the core).

Primary goal/usage of `#instance_eval` is to "dig inside". Primary goal/usage of `#trap` is to "apply next transformation". Even if `#trap` will be implemented as a simple alias of `#instance_eval`, it will have some usage/popularity outside of `#instance_eval`'s domain. On my opinion only, of course.

#3 - 11/19/2015 11:08 PM - phluid61 (Matthew Kerwin)

Some related issues and historical readings:

- [#10095](#) `Object#as`
 - from [#6373](#) `Object#self`
- [#6721](#) `Object#yield_self`
- [#6684](#) `Object#do`
- [#7388](#) `Object#embed`

Clearly this is something the Ruby community wants. Just as clearly, it's something nobody can name.

#4 - 11/19/2015 11:12 PM - phluid61 (Matthew Kerwin)

Victor Shepelev wrote:

Naming

- it is similar enough to `tap`;
- it is specific enough to not be used widely in some popular library (or isn't it?);
- mnemonic is "do something and trap (catch) the value".

WDYT?

"trap" already means "trap a signal", it comes from long-standing Unix terminology; see [Signal#trap](#)

#5 - 11/19/2015 11:41 PM - 0x0dea (D.E. Akers)

Victor Shepelev wrote:

Even if `#trap` will be implemented as a simple alias of `#instance_eval`...

If you did in fact know that you were essentially requesting an alias for `#instance_eval`, this was a remarkably roundabout way to go about it.

#6 - 11/20/2015 04:18 PM - zverok (Victor Shepelev)

"trap" already means "trap a signal", it comes from long-standing Unix terminology

Ooops. Completely forgot about this one :(

Clearly this is something the Ruby community wants. Just as clearly, it's something nobody can name.

Yeah, can see it now.

Thinking further, I wonder if just `Object#yield` could be parsed correctly...

If you did in fact know that you were essentially requesting an alias for `#instance_eval`, this was a remarkably roundabout way to go about it.

But hey. It is NOT, in fact:

```
class MyClass
  def with_instance_eval(filename)
    File.read(filename).instance_eval{|s| p [s, self]; parse(s)}
  end

  def with_trap(filename)
    File.read(filename).trap{|s| p [s, self]; parse(s)}
  end
end
```

```

end

def parse(str)
  JSON.parse(str)
end
end

puts "#trap:"
p MyClass.new.with_trap('test.json')

puts "#instance_eval:"
p MyClass.new.with_instance_eval('test.json')

```

Output:

```

#trap:
[{"test\\": 1}\\n", #<MyClass:0x911f428>]
{"test"=>1}

#instance_eval:
[{"test\\": 1}\\n", {"test\\": 1}\\n"]
trap.rb:in `block in with_instance_eval': undefined method `parse' for "{\"test\\": 1}\\n":String (NoMethodError)
)

```

#7 - 11/20/2015 06:06 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- Is duplicate of Feature #6721: Object#yield_self added

#8 - 09/20/2016 12:55 AM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- Has duplicate Feature #12760: Optional block argument for `itself` added

#9 - 01/31/2017 04:16 AM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- Has duplicate Feature #13172: Method that yields object to block and returns result added

#10 - 05/01/2017 07:50 AM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- Status changed from Open to Closed

Applied in changeset trunk|r58528.

object.c: Kernel#yield_self

- object.c (rb_obj_yield_self): new method which yields the receiver and returns the result.
[\[ruby-core:46320\]](#) [Feature #6721]