

Ruby - Bug #13695

Issue with require and require_relative with symlinked directories

06/28/2017 07:41 PM - fidothe (Matt Patterson)

Status:	Closed	
Priority:	Normal	
Assignee:		
Target version:		
ruby -v:	ruby 2.4.1p111 (2017-03-22 revision 58053) [x86_64-darwin16]	Backport: 2.2: UNKNOWN, 2.3: UNKNOWN, 2.4: UNKNOWN
Description <p>Symlinked directories cause an issue with <code>require_relative</code> where libraries loaded from a symlinked path (e.g. in a typical Capistrano / Bundler deployment) can be double-loaded.</p> <p>Reproduce process:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Clone git repo from https://github.com/fidothe/symlink-require2. Run <code>use-symlink.rb</code> script: <pre>\$ ruby use-symlink.rb</pre> <p>Expected result: No warning from double-loading of constants issued. Actual result: double-loading of file causing already-initialised constant warning.</p> <p>In the example I linked above, <code>target.rb</code> uses <code>require_relative</code> to load <code>dependent.rb</code>.</p> <p>When the example also requires <code>dependent.rb</code> the file is double-loaded and we see a warning about already-initialized constant.</p> <p>The problem is that when <code>require</code> in <code>use-symlink.rb</code> (line 7) checks the set of loaded files it uses an absolute path containing the symlink (since that is what was added to <code>\$LOAD_PATH</code>), but when <code>require_relative</code> in <code>target.rb</code> is called, it uses an absolute path containing target of the symlink, causing the double-load.</p> <p>Is this discrepancy intended behaviour on Ruby's part?</p>		
Related issues: <p>Is duplicate of Ruby - Bug #10222: <code>require_relative</code> and <code>require</code> should be com... Closed</p>		

History

#1 - 06/28/2017 08:57 PM - rrosenblum (Ryan Rosenblum)

[rspec-duplicate-require](#) shows that this behavior has been around for at least 4 years. More recent discussions of this have been going on in [prawn](#) and [pdf-core](#).

#2 - 06/29/2017 02:03 AM - shyuhei (Shyouhei Urabe)

I guess this is a dup of [#10222](#).

#3 - 06/29/2017 09:18 AM - fidothe (Matt Patterson)

shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe) wrote:

I guess this is a dup of [#10222](#).

It is, sorry for not finding that when I looked for existing issues related to this.

#4 - 06/24/2019 06:39 PM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)

- Is duplicate of Bug #10222: `require_relative` and `require` should be compatible with each other when symlinks are used added

#5 - 06/24/2019 06:39 PM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)

- Status changed from Open to Closed