

Ruby - Bug #19632

Disable external iterator for frozen enumerator

05/09/2023 01:22 AM - make_now_just (Hiroya Fujinami)

<div>Status:Closed</div> <div>Priority:Normal</div> <div>Assignee:</div> <div>Target version:</div> <div>ruby -v:</div>	<div>Backport:3.0: UNKNOWN, 3.1: UNKNOWN, 3.2: UNKNOWN</div>
<div>Description</div> <div>Currently, methods to manipulate an external iterator like #next and #feed can be called even if a receiver of an enumerator is frozen. However, these methods change the state of an external iterator in an enumerator. Therefore, it seems a BUG to me, and these methods should raise FrozenError if the receiver is frozen.</div> <div><pre>e = 3.times.freeze # Current e.next # => 1 e.next # => 2 # Expected e.next # raise FrozenError</pre></div> <div>Two years ago, this issue was mentioned in a comment.</div> <div>I suggest fixing the following methods to raise FrozenError against a frozen enumerator.</div> <div><ul style="list-style-type: none">• Enumerator#next• Enumerator#next_values• Enumerator#peek• Enumerator#peek_values• Enumerator#feed• Enumerator#rewind</div> <div>Also, even if an enumerator is frozen, it does not affect other methods for internal iterators.</div>	

Associated revisions

Revision 3e64cf60b5162bb5dad772f300b7f6346e5f83f9 - 10/25/2023 07:32 AM - make_now_just (Hiroya Fujinami)

Fix [Bug #19632]: Disable external iterator for frozen enumerator (#7791)

- Fix [Bug #19632]: Disable external iterator for frozen enumerator

Currently, methods to manipulate an external iterator like #next and #feed can be called even if a receiver of an enumerator is frozen. However, these methods change the state of an external iterator in an enumerator. Therefore, it seems a BUG to me, and these methods should raise FrozenError if the receiver is frozen.

This fixed the following methods to raise FrozenError if the receiver is frozen.

- Enumerator#next
- Enumerator#next_values
- Enumerator#peek
- Enumerator#peek_values
- Enumerator#feed
- Enumerator#rewind
- Fix a typo in the document

Thanks @Maumagnaguagno.

Revision 3e64cf60b5162bb5dad772f300b7f6346e5f83f9 - 10/25/2023 07:32 AM - make_now_just (Hiroya Fujinami)

Fix [Bug #19632]: Disable external iterator for frozen enumerator (#7791)

- Fix [Bug #19632]: Disable external iterator for frozen enumerator

Currently, methods to manipulate an external iterator like #next and #feed can be called even if a receiver of an enumerator is frozen. However, these methods change the state of an external iterator in an enumerator. Therefore, it seems a BUG to me, and these methods should raise FrozenError if the receiver is frozen.

This fixed the following methods to raise FrozenError if the receiver is frozen.

- Enumerator#next
- Enumerator#next_values
- Enumerator#peek
- Enumerator#peek_values
- Enumerator#feed
- Enumerator#rewind

- Fix a typo in the document

Thanks @Maumagnaguagno.

Revision 3e64cf60 - 10/25/2023 07:32 AM - make_now_just (Hiroya Fujinami)

Fix [Bug #19632]: Disable external iterator for frozen enumerator (#7791)

- Fix [Bug #19632]: Disable external iterator for frozen enumerator

Currently, methods to manipulate an external iterator like #next and #feed can be called even if a receiver of an enumerator is frozen. However, these methods change the state of an external iterator in an enumerator. Therefore, it seems a BUG to me, and these methods should raise FrozenError if the receiver is frozen.

This fixed the following methods to raise FrozenError if the receiver is frozen.

- Enumerator#next
- Enumerator#next_values
- Enumerator#peek
- Enumerator#peek_values
- Enumerator#feed
- Enumerator#rewind

- Fix a typo in the document

Thanks @Maumagnaguagno.

History

#1 - 05/09/2023 01:26 AM - make_now_just (Hiroya Fujinami)

A Pull Request for this is created. <https://github.com/ruby/ruby/pull/7791>

#2 - 05/09/2023 01:41 AM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

Sounds reasonable.

#3 - 05/13/2023 02:14 PM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

peek does not seem to modify the enumerator? Should we prohibit it (or not)?

#4 - 05/14/2023 12:41 AM - make_now_just (Hiroya Fujinami)

If a result value is not stored, peek invokes the iterator and stores it in its internal state. For that reason, I consider peek is also a mutable method like next.

If you call peek in advance and then call freeze, peek will not change the internal state. IMHO, this case is exceptional and should still raise a FrozenError for simplicity. However, I would follow matz's decision.

#5 - 05/14/2023 10:53 AM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)

+1. And yes peek can have side effect so should be considered mutating method.

#6 - 10/25/2023 07:32 AM - make_now_just (Hiroya Fujinami)

- *Status changed from Open to Closed*

Applied in changeset [git|3e64cf60b5162bb5dad772f300b7f6346e5f83f9](https://github.com/3e64cf60b5162bb5dad772f300b7f6346e5f83f9).

Fix [Bug [#19632](#)]: Disable external iterator for frozen enumerator ([#7791](#))

- Fix [Bug [#19632](#)]: Disable external iterator for frozen enumerator

Currently, methods to manipulate an external iterator like `#next` and `#feed` can be called even if a receiver of an enumerator is frozen. However, these methods change the state of an external iterator in an enumerator. Therefore, it seems a BUG to me, and these methods should raise `FrozenError` if the receiver is frozen.

This fixed the following methods to raise `FrozenError` if the receiver is frozen.

- `Enumerator#next`
- `Enumerator#next_values`
- `Enumerator#peek`
- `Enumerator#peek_values`
- `Enumerator#feed`
- `Enumerator#rewind`
- Fix a typo in the document

Thanks @Maumagnaguagno.